347

Preliminary Note

Structure and stability of the gas phase complex of SO_2 with H_2O

PAUL M. HOLLAND* and A. W. CASTLEMAN, JR.

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Physics Laboratory, Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309 (U.S.A.) (Received March 24, 1981)

During recent years there has been evidence that the kinetics of certain reactions may be altered when the reactants are in the presence of another molecule to which one of them can become clustered. In particular, Hamilton and Naleway [1] suggested that reactions involving the free radical HO₂ may be influenced by the presence of H₂O or NH₃ that clusters with the HO₂. As part of the photochemical oxidation scheme proposed for the conversion of SO₂ to H₂SO₄ Davis [2] has proposed that HSO₃, formed on reaction of SO₂ with OH, becomes clustered with O₂ to form HSO₅. As part of the subsequent gas-to-particle conversion mechanism Calvert [3] and Holland and Castleman [4] suggested that the formation of H₂SO₄ aerosols from SO₃ commences [5] with the formation of the adduct SO₃·H₂O. The plausibility of this suggestion has been borne out by the calculations [4] of the structure and energy barrier to rearrangement of SO₃·H₂O to form H₂SO₄.

In order to account for their observations concerning the formation of aerosols via the photooxidation of SO_2 , Friend *et al.* [6] postulated the role of clusters. Similar suggestions have been made independently by Friedlander and McMurray [7]. Very recently there has been considerable interest in the existence of adducts of SO_2 and H_2O in the atmosphere and a number of experiments are in progress concerned with this problem [8]. The purpose of this note is to report the results of complete neglect of differential overlap (CNDO/2) calculations of the structure of the $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct.

To our knowledge these are the first molecular orbital calculations of the gas phase structure of the $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct. The present calculations were carried out using a standard CNDO/2 program [9]; such calculations [10] have been successfully used by others for treating even-electron sys-

^{*}Present address: The Procter and Gamble Company, Miami Valley Laboratories, P.O. Box 39175, Cincinnati, OH 45247, U.S.A.

tems of moderate size. This method was chosen as being relatively economical for the six atom-23 orbital system under consideration, particularly in view of the number of calculations necessary to optimize geometries and to investigate various configurations. It is well known that calculations made with this method tend to predict stabilities that are greater than those observed experimentally and also usually overestimate interatomic distances. Nevertheless, despite the relative simplicity of the calculational method, it often gives a good representation of relative stability and bond angles [11].

In our study, energy-minimized geometries were found for $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$, SO_2 and H_2O . Interatomic distances were obtained to 0.01 Å and bond angles were obtained to 0.5° (0.1° for H_2O). The geometry of minimum energy found for the $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct is shown in Fig. 1. The separate SO_2 and H_2O molecules were found respectively to have an O-S-O angle of 137° with S-O = 1.51 Å and an H-O-H angle of 104.1° with O-H = 1.03 Å. Energies corresponding to these geometries (in hartrees) are listed in Table 1.



Fig. 1. CNDO/2 optimized geometry of the adduct of SO₂ and H₂O. The calculated interatomic distances are O-H = 1.04 Å, S-O = 1.75 Å and S-O¹ = 1.52 Å. The symmetry of the complex is C_s .

TABLE 1

С	omplet	e neglect	of dif	ferential	overlap
(CNDO/2	2) minimi	ized e	nergies	

Molecule	Energy (hartrees)		
SO ₂ ·H ₂ O	-67.6430		
SO ₂	-47.6059		
н ₂ Ō	-19.8912		

In calculating the structure of the adduct, a number of different geometries of interaction between SO_2 and H_2O were found to give favorable bonding for the formation of a complex. Those in which the dipole moments of SO_2 and H_2O were aligned with respect to each other gave about 75% of the optimized bonding energy. Interestingly, those in which the dipole moments were oriented at right angles to each other gave a greater degree of interaction, about 85% of the optimized binding energy.

The geometry of the optimized $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct (Fig. 1) shows that the plane of the water molecule is tilted back from the S-O intermolecular axis. thus projecting a "lone electron pair" of H_2O at the sulfur of SO_2 . This result is very similar to that obtained for the $SO_3 \cdot H_2O$ adduct [4]. This configuration suggests that the adduct can be described as a Lewis acidbase pair, analogous to that of the $SO_2 \cdot N(CH_2)_2$ complex [12]. Ab initio calculations [13] on the $SO_2 \cdot NH_3$ and $SO_2 \cdot N(CH_3)_3$ complexes give geometries that are similar to that obtained for the $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct in the present work, namely a "lone electron pair" directed at the sulfur of SO₂ with the SO₂ bent back somewhat. The *ab initio* results give a bonding energy of 10.4 kcal mol⁻¹ [13] for the SO₂ \cdot NH₃ complex. Since NH₃ is a better Lewis base than H_2O is, the binding energy of an $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct should be less than 10 kcal mol⁻¹. Although there is no stable "sulfurous acid" structure for the $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct to which it can rearrange, a comparison with the results for the $SO_3 \cdot H_2O$ adduct is useful. The CNDO/2 results give a dipole moment of 6.94 D for the optimized $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct compared with 7.28 D and 0.62 D for the SO₃·H₂O adduct and H₂SO₄ respectively. Since CNDO/2 calculations tend to overestimate bond lengths, these values are probably too high; for example, the calculated dipole moment of H_2O of 2.11 D compares with an experimental value [14] of 1.85 D. The CNDO/2 binding energy of $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ is about 80% of that of the $SO_3 \cdot H_2O$ adduct [4].

In conclusion, CNDO/2 calculations suggest that an $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct should be stable in the gas phase although less strongly bound than other similar adducts. The optimized geometry of $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ suggests that, similar to that of $SO_2 \cdot NH_3$, $SO_2 \cdot N(CH_3)_3$ and $SO_3 \cdot H_2O$, the adduct can be described as a Lewis acid-base pair. The results of the present study also suggest that an $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$ adduct would have a relatively large dipole moment.

Support of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NSG 2248 is gratefully acknowledged.

The Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences is jointly sponsored by the University of Colorado and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

- 1 E. J. Hamilton, Jr., and C. A. Naleway, J. Phys. Chem., 80 (1976) 2037.
- 2 D. D. Davis, A. R. Ravishankara and S. Fisher, Geophys. Res. Lett., 6 (1979) 113.
- 3 J. G. Calvert, personal communication, 1974.
- 4 P. M. Holland and A. W. Castleman, Jr., Chem. Phys. Lett., 56 (1978) 511.
- 5 A. W. Castleman, Jr., R. E. Davis, H. R. Munkelwitz, I. N. Tang and W. P. Wood, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., S1 (1975) 629.
- 6 J. P. Friend, R. A. Barnes and R. M. Vasta, J. Phys. Chem., 84 (1980) 2423.
- 7 S. K. Friedlander, Theory of new particle formation in the presence of an aerosol, Proc. Gesellschaft für Aerosolforschung (GAF) Conf. Vienna, September 1978.
 P. H. McMurray, On the relationship between aerosol dynamics and the rate of gas-toparticle conversion, Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1977.
- 8 J. S. Muenter, personal communication, 1981.
- 9 C. Finder, Quantum Chem. Program Exchange, 13 (1972) 223.
- 10 J. A. Pople and D. L. Beveridge, Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970.
- 11 G. H. Wagniere, Introduction to elementary molecular orbital theory and to semiempirical methods, *Lecture Notes in Chemistry*, Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- 12 F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, Interscience, New York, 3rd edn., 1972, pp. 443 444.
- 13 R. R. Lucchese, K. Haber and H. F. Schaefer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 7617.
- 14 R. C. Weast (ed.), Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, Chemical Rubber Co., Cleveland, OH, 57th edn., 1976.